Plant Omics Journal

POJ 6(1):86-94 (2013) Research note PO7

Molecular cloning, characterization and transcriptional variability study of resistance gene candidates from wild *Curcuma spp*. for resistance against *Pythium aphanidermatum*

Basudeba Kar, Sanghamitra Nayak, Raj Kumar Joshi*

Centre of Biotechnology, Siksha O Anusandhan University, Bhubaneswar, India-751003

*Corresponding author: rajkumar.joshi@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

The genetic base of preferred turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) genotypes has eroded due to their continuous domestication through exclusive vegetative routes thus making them highly susceptible to various pests and pathogens. Molecular cloning of resistance related sequences from wild genotypes can result in efficient turmeric improvement by evolving more effective resistance specificities compared to cultigens. In this study, a PCR mediated approach has been made using degenerate primers designed on conserved region (P-loop and GLPL) of the NBS domain from *R*-genes that provides the source for cloning analogous sequences called resistance gene candidates (RGCs) from three wild turmeric- *Curcuma aromatica, Curcuma angustifolia* and *Curcuma zedoaria.* Twenty-one wild turmeric RGCs were isolated and grouped into four phenetic classes. A strong amino acid identity ranging from 41 to 53% together with presence of internal conserved motifs provided evidence that the isolated RGCs belong to the non-toll interleukin receptor (non-TIR) NBS-LRR *R*-gene sub-family. Southern hybridization showed a high copy representation of turmeric RGCs. Expression variability of wild turmeric RGCs was analyzed through reverse transcription PCR in root tissues of the three wild turmeric plants resistant or susceptible to *Pythium aphanidermatum. Cap12* and *Can12* showed a constitutive expression in both resistant and susceptible plants of *Curcuma aromatica* and *Curcuma angustifolia* respectively while *Czp11* expression was realized only in *Pythium aphanidermatum* resistant lines of *Curcuma zedoaria* as well as *Curcuma longa L*. This result can pave way towards the identification and characterization of a potential *Pythium aphanidermatum* resistance gene in turmeric.

Keywords: Multiple sequence alignment; NBS-LRR; Resistance gene candidates (RGCs); wild turmeric, Transcriptional variability analysis.

Abbreviations: RGCs-resistance gene candidates; RGAs-resistance gene analogues; *R*-genes-resistance genes; NBS-Nucleotide binding sites.

Introduction

About 75% of plant R-genes encode proteins with a nucleotide-binding site and leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) domains that confer resistance to various pests and pathogens including bacteria, fungi, viruses, insects and nematodes (Dangl and Jones, 2001). The C-terminal LRR acts as a site for pathogen recognition only while the N-terminal NBS initiate signaling that activates signal transduction pathways leading to disease resistance (Belkhadir et al., 2004). The NBS-LRR R-genes are abundant in plant genomes with approximately 150 and 600 isolated from Arabidopsis and rice respectively (Meyers et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2004). The NBS-LRR class R-genes are divided into two distinct subclasses- TIR NBS-LRR and non-TIR NBS-LRR based on the presence or absence of a TIR domain (Drosophila Toll and mammalian Interleukin-1 receptor homology region) at the N terminus of the protein. While the TIR subclass is restricted to the dicot species, the non-TIR subclasses comprises a coiled-coil structure and is widely distributed both among monocot and dicot species (Pan et al., 2000). The NBS and LRR domain being highly conserved, degenerate oligonucleotide primers designed from these domains can be used in a candidate gene approach to isolate NBS-LRR genes or resistance gene candidates (RGCs) from plant species. This strategy have been successfully employed in many plant species for the isolation of homologous resistance sequences by heterologous amplification (Leister et al., 1996; Kanazin

et al., 1996; Mago et al., 1999; Xiao et al., 2006; Nair and Thomas, 2007). Genetic mapping and phylogenetic analysis have revealed that many of the RGA either co segregate with or are closely linked to known disease resistance loci. Thus, the RGCs can not only act as useful tools for the isolation of full-length resistance genes conferring both qualitative and quantitative resistance to different pathogens but also provide vital information about the organization, expression and evolution of R-genes (Pan et al., 2000; Bai et al., 2002; Meyers et al., 2003; Deng et al., 2003; He et al., 2004). In our earlier work, we had isolated five non-TIR NBS-LRR RGCs from Curcuma longa cultivar surama (Joshi et al., 2010). However, RT-PCR analysis showed all the five sequences to be non-expressive (data not shown). This suggests that the genetic variation for disease resistance is poor in the cultivated Curcuma. Utilization of wild turmeric genotypes for isolation and characterization of RGCs can be a possible alternative because they can evolve resistance specificities more efficiently than cultigen as seen in many other plants (Ebert and Hamilton, 1996). In the present study, a PCR based method using R-gene specific degenerate primers were adopted for cloning and sequence characterization of RGCs of the NBS type from three wild turmeric genotypes-Curcuma aromatica, C. angustifolia and C. zedoaria. Further, a functional characterization of selected wild *Curcuma* RGCs was carried out to see their expression profiles.

Results

Amplification and cloning of RGCs of the NBS-type from wild Curcuma spp.

Using the three *R*-gene specific degenerate primers, a \approx 530bp fragment was amplified between the P-loop and GLPLA motifs of the NBS region of the NBS-LRR class of R-genes from the three wild turmeric genotypes (see supplementary material). An additional band of 100/250/300bp was also observed in a few samples. Different PCR conditions, including the concentrations of template DNA, Mg²⁺ and Taq DNA polymerase, were tested to further confirm the PCR amplification. Although the 530-bp band could be reproduced under all conditions tested, the DNA bands of other sizes could not. Therefore, the 530-bp band was cloned and sequenced. Nine amplicons of 530-bp in size (Supplementary Fig. S1) were cloned and 38 clones were isolated that comprised of 10, 17 and 11 clones respectively from Curcuma aromatica, Curcuma angustifolia and Curcuma zedoaria. BLASTx search revealed 21 (nine, five and seven from Curcuma aromatica, Curcuma angustifolia and Curcuma zedoaria respectively) out of 38 clones having high homology to the NBS domain of known R-genes or RGCs from other plant species. These sequences have been in the GenBank submitted database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) with accession number from JN426969 to JN426989. The rest of the 17 clones showed homology to putative polyprotein and hypothetical leucinerich repeats and were excluded from further analysis.

Sequence analysis of RGCs from wild Curcuma spp.

The 21 nucleotide sequences were translated using ExPaSy translate tool and the deduced amino acid sequences that showed a high level of sequence similarity with more than 100 amino acids to the NBS regions of disease resistance Rgenes were published in the GenBank database (Table 1). The level of identity of these sequences to RGCs isolated from other plant species ranged from 51% between Cap11 and NBS-LRR resistance like protein from Coffea arabica (e-value: 4e-35) to 63% between Czp21 and NBS-containing disease resistance-like protein from Musa acuminata subsp. malaccensis (e-value: 1e-35). The level of sequence identity of isolated sequences with RGCs isolated from other zingiberaceous species ranged from 85% between Czp21 and resistance like protein KRGC1 from Kaempferia galanga (evalue: 3e-103) to 99% between Cap21 and Kaempferia galanga resistance like protein KRGC4 (e-value: 1e-04). BLASTP searches of deduced amino acid sequences of the 21 clones revealed the presence of NB-ARC (nucleotide binding and similarity to Apaf-1, R genes and Ced-4) domain and significant homology to well characterized RGCs and Rgenes from angiosperms. Analysis of the sequences using the ORF finder NCBI at the server (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gorf) revealed that all the 21 sequences could be translated into open reading frame (ORF) with more than 100 amino acids. Southern blot analysis of selected resistance gene candidates resulted in hybridization pattern consisting of multiple bands for each of the three RGC tested (Fig.1) suggesting that RGCs occurs as multiple copies in the turmeric genome. The RGCs Cap12, Czp11 and Can12 showed the hybridization pattern representing seven, six and five copies in the genome of

Curcuma aromatica, Curcuma zedoaria and Curcuma angustifolia respectively. Such types of RGCs attribute to be a member of the multi resistance gene family. Analysis of the putative amino acid sequences of the wild Curcuma RGCs using CLUSTALX program revealed the presence of located P-loop/Kinase-1a appropriately consensus kinase-2 (LLVFDDVW), (GGVGKTT), RNBS-B (GSRVIVTTRI) and GLPL motifs characteristic of the NBS domain of R-genes (Fig.2). The non-TIR motif (WVxxIRELAYDIEDIVDxY) associated with non-TIR subclass of NBS sequences was also present in the Nterminal region of all the 21 wild Curcuma sequences. In addition, the analysis showed a tryptophan (W) residue at the end of kinase-2 motif, which is also a characteristic feature of non-TIR subclass of NBS-LRR R-genes. Sequence comparisons of the wild Curcuma RGCs (using the region spanning the P-loop and GLPLA motifs of the NBS domain) revealed a high amino acid identity among them. The amino acid identity ranged from 85% (between Czp11 and Cap12, *Cap21*) to 99% (between *Cap21 and Cap11*; between *Cap22*) and Cap23, Cap24, Cap31 and Cap32; between Can11 and Can12, Can21; between Can22 and Can31; between Czp11 and Czp12, Czp21) (Table 2). Similarly, the amino acid identity between wild Curcuma sequences and known Rgenes varied from 41% between Can11, Can12, Can21, Can31 and Linium L6 (U27081) to 53% between Cap23 and Arabidopsis RPS2 (A54809). Likewise, the amino acid identity among R-genes utilized in the study ranged from 42% (between RPS2, N and L6) to 53% (between L6 and N).

Phylogenetic analysis of wild turmeric RGCs

A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the Neighbor Joining algorithm implemented in the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software package version 2.1 to examine the relationships of wild Curcuma RGCs among themselves and to the R-genes and RGCs from other plant species. The analysis was performed using only the NBS domain (P-loop to GLPLA) as it contains numerous conserved motifs that facilitate proper alignment. The resulting tree consisted of two major branches- TIR and non-TIR NBS-LRR disease resistance proteins (Fig. 3). The TIR branch consisted of seven known TIR-NBS-LRR genes namely L (AAD25976), L6 (U27081), M (P93244), P2 (AAK28806), N (U15605), RPP4 (NM117790) and RPP1 (NM114316). All the wild Curcuma RGCs clustered with the non-TIR subclass of NBS sequences, which supports our earlier results that the turmeric RGCs lacks a TIR domain at the N-terminal region. The wild Curcuma RGCs were distributed into four classes. 12 out of 21 RGCs were in class A and 3 RGCs each in class B, C and D. The distribution of wild Curcuma RGCs in different branches of the non-TIR-NBS cluster reflects a high level of sequence divergence for these sequences. However, in all the subtrees, the Curcuma RGCs were clustered with RGCs of known function from other members of the family Zingiberaceae such as Zingiber officinale and Kaempferia galanga. Thus, the 21 sequences identified from three taxa can be considered as resistance gene candidates based on their high level of sequence identity to R-genes/RGCs and presence of true open reading frames with desired conserved motifs.

Transcript expression analysis of the wild turmeric RGCs

Total RNA was isolated from the healthy plants of three wild turmeric genotypes either resistant or susceptible to *Pythium aphanidermatum* and subjected to RT-PCR to study the

DLAS	TA algorithm.						
Curcuma NBS clone	GenBank protein acces Zingiberaceae showing	ssion from the highest	Amino acid identity (%)	E value	Other GenBank protein accession showing the highest similarity	Amino acid identity (%)	E value
Cap11	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73428)	NBS-LRR	98%	2e-123	Disease resistance like protein, <i>Coffea</i> <i>arabica</i> (CAC82610)	51%	4e-35
Cap12	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73428)	NBS-LRR	98%	5e-122	Disease resistance like protein, <i>Coffea</i> arabica (CAC82610)	53%	3e-36
Cap21	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73428)	NBS-LRR	99%	1e-04	Resistance like protein, Vitis davidii (ABS50350)	52%	2e-35
Cap22	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73431)	NBS-LRR	98%	5e-124	Resistance like protein, Vitis davidii (ABS50350)	52%	7e-39
Cap23	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73429)	NBS-LRR	98%	1e-126	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	55%	1e-38
Cap24	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73430)	NBS-LRR	98%	3e-129	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	60%	3e-37
Cap31	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73428)	NBS-LRR	96%	3e-120	NBS-LRR type resistance protein [Cynodon x magennisii] (ADC54190)	60%	1e-37
Cap32	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73430)	NBS-LRR	97%	1e-121	NBS-LRR type resistance protein [Cynodon x magennisii] (ADC54190)	59%	1e-36
Cap33	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73431)	NBS-LRR	89%	2e-126	NBS-LRR type resistance protein [Cynodon x magennisii] (ADC54190)	56%	2e-36
Can11	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein ADZ73429	NBS-LRR	89%	2e-112	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	56%	4e-35
Can12	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73428)	NBS-LRR	87%	5e-107	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	56%	5e-32
Can21	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73428)	NBS-LRR	86%	2e-104	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	55%	8e-34
Can22	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73429)	NBS-LRR	88%	1e-108	NBS-LRR type resistance protein [Cynodon x <i>magennisii</i>] (ADC54190)	56%	2e-32
Can31	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73429)	NBS-LRR	88%	2e-107	NBS-LRR type resistance protein [Cynodon x <i>magennisii</i>] (ADC54190)	54%	3e-30
Czp11	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73429)	NBS-LRR	87%	4e-109	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	57%	3e-36
Czp12	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73431)	NBS-LRR	86%	9e-106	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	57%	5e-36
Czp21	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73428)	NBS-LRR	85%	3e-103	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	63%	1e-35
Czp22	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73429)	NBS-LRR	93%	2e-123	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	58%	2e-39
Czp23	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein ADZ73429	NBS-LRR	89%	2e-112	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	59%	5e-39
Czp31	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga protein (ADZ73430)	NBS-LRR	87%	3e-109	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	59%	1e-38
Czp32	<i>Kaempferia</i> galanga	NBS-LRR	87%	3e-104	NBS containg R-gene [<i>Musa acuminata</i> subsp. malaccensis] (ABY75804)	59%	3e-36

Table 1. Results of the search for similarity between wild turmeric NBS-sequences and GenBank accessions carried out using the BLASTX algorithm.



Fig 1. Southern hybridization of three selected resistance gene candidates Cap12, Czp11 and Can12 of *Curcuma aromatica*, *Curcuma angustifolia* and *Curcuma zedoaria* plants respectively. The restriction enzymes used are (1) *EcoRI* and (2) *HindIII*. Molecular weight marker values are indicated in the left side of each blot. Hybridization pattern consisting of multiple bands was realized for each of the three RGC tested with both *EcoRI* and *HindIII* suggesting that the RGCs belongs to a multi-copy gene family in the turmeric genome.

Table 2. Percentage amino acid identity derived from pairwise comparison between wild turmeric resistance gene candidates and the NBS motif of NBS-LRR *R*-genes.

Cap11 Cap12 Cap12 95% Cap12 95% Cap22 2823 Cap24 2824 Cap25 2823 Cap24 2833 Can21 Can21 Can21 Can21 Can21 Can21 Can21 Can21 Can21 Can21 Can21 Can31 Can21 Can31 Czp12 Czp22 Czp23 Czp31 Czp32 Pi-ta Pi-ta RPS2 N L6	2 Cap21 C =-102) 99%(Se-104) 9 99%(Se-104) 9 99%(Se-104) 9 9	2mp2 Cap13 775(3=100) 775(9=100) 775(4=-100) 9675(3=100) 7756(4=-100) 9675(3=100) 9975(4=-106) 9975(4=-106)	Cap24 Cap31 975(21=200) 975(11=20) 965(21=00) 965(11=20) 965(21=100) 965(21=20) 985(21=103) 985(21=103) 985(21=103) 985(21=103) 985(21=03) 985(21=103) 985(21=03) 985(21=103) 985(21=03) 985(21=103) 985(21=03) 985(21=103)	Cep32 Cep33 97%2(3-89) 97%4(4-89) 96%2(3-89) 97%2(4-89) 96%2(3-89) 97%2(4-89) 96%2(3-89) 97%2(4-89) 98%2(3-802) 97%2(4-89) 98%2(3-802) 97%2(4-89) 98%2(3-802) 99%2(4-89) 98%2(3-802) 99%2(3-89) 98%2(3-802) 99%2(3-89) 98%2(3-802) 99%2(3-89) 98%2(3-802) 99%2(3-89) 98%2(3-802) 99%2(3-89) 98%2(3-802) 99%2(3-89) 98%2(3-802) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) 99%2(3-80) <t< th=""><th>Canll Ca 0 875(4):571 90 875(4):500 97 875(4):500 90 875(4):500 90 875(4):500 91 875(4):500 91 875(4):500 91 875(4):500 91 875(4):500 91 875(2):500 91 855(2):500 91 855(2):500 92 855(2):500 855(2):500 859 855(2):500 859 859%(6):600 859 859%(6):600 859 859%(6):600 859 859%(6):600 859</th><th><math display="block">\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{h12} & \mathbf{Cnn21} \\ (3'4-5') & 57^{*}(2-5') \\ (4'4-5') & 57^{*}(2-5') \\ (4'4-5') & 57^{*}(2-5') \\ (4'2-5') & 57^{*}(2-5') \\ (4'4-5') & 57^{*}(3-5') \\ (4'4-5') & 57^{*}(3-5') \\ (4'2-5') & 57^{</math></th><th>Can22 Can31 S8%(d=85) S7%(d=85) S7%(d=85) S6%(d=87) S7%(d=85) S6%(d=87) S7%(d=85) S6%(d=87) S7%(d=85) S7%(d=86) S9%(d=80) S9%(d=91) S8%(d=85) S7%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S3%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85)</th><th>Crp11 Crp12 56%(1-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(1-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(1-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-85) 95%(4+113) 95%(4+113)</th></t<>	Canll Ca 0 875(4):571 90 875(4):500 97 875(4):500 90 875(4):500 90 875(4):500 91 875(4):500 91 875(4):500 91 875(4):500 91 875(4):500 91 875(2):500 91 855(2):500 91 855(2):500 92 855(2):500 855(2):500 859 855(2):500 859 859%(6):600 859 859%(6):600 859 859%(6):600 859 859%(6):600 859	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{h12} & \mathbf{Cnn21} \\ (3'4-5') & 57^{*}(2-5') \\ (4'4-5') & 57^{*}(2-5') \\ (4'4-5') & 57^{*}(2-5') \\ (4'2-5') & 57^{*}(2-5') \\ (4'4-5') & 57^{*}(3-5') \\ (4'4-5') & 57^{*}(3-5') \\ (4'2-5') & 57^{$	Can22 Can31 S8%(d=85) S7%(d=85) S7%(d=85) S6%(d=87) S7%(d=85) S6%(d=87) S7%(d=85) S6%(d=87) S7%(d=85) S7%(d=86) S9%(d=80) S9%(d=91) S8%(d=85) S7%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S3%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85) S5%(d=85)	Crp11 Crp12 56%(1-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(1-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(1-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-87) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-85) 85%(2-85) 95%(4+113) 95%(4+113)
C11	C22	C12	C11	C 32	D: 44	PDC	N	16
Czp21	Czp22	Czp23	Czp31	Czp32	Pi-ta 52% (1 - 15)	KPS2 51% (4 - 10)	N 449/ (6 - 05)	L6
85%(2e-87) 85%(3a-86)	91%(98-98)	87%(4e-90) 87%(3a-90)	87%(4e-90)	87% (Je-88)	48% (Se 16) 51%(4e-10) 52%(2a-10)	44% (0e-05)	45% (6e-07)
85%(2=87)	01%(0a.08)	88%(4a-90)	87%(4a-90)	88% (4a-89)	48% (5a-16	52%(2e-10)	43% (9-05)	45% (60.07)
87%(2e-81)	94%(7e-103)	90%(2e-95)	90%(3e-95)	89%(2e-93)	54% (Se-19) 51%(le-ll)	43%(2e-05)	44%(2e-07)
87%(5e-91)	92%(2e-102)	89%(7e-95)	89%(9e-95)	89% (7e-93)	53%(2e-18	53%(3e-11)	43% (3e-05)	44% (Se-07)
86%(8e-90)	93%(3e-101)	89%(1e-92)	88%(6e-93)	88% (2e-90)	54%(le-18	51% (8e-12)	43% (4e-05)	44% (7e-07)
86%(6e-89)	93%(3e-100)	89%(1e-92)	88%(5e-93)	88% (le-90)	54% (9e-19	51% (8e-12)	43% (5e-05)	44% (1e-06)
86%(4e-88)	93%(3e-99)	89%(7e-92)	89%(8e-92)	88% (le-89)	50% (3e-17)) 51% (4e-11)	42% (8e-04)	45% (2e-07)
87%(4e-89)	94%(2e-100)	90%(6e-93)	89%(2e-93)	89% (9e-91)	50% (4e-18)) 51%(4e-11)	43% (2e-04)	45% (4e-07)
86%(1e-89)	93%(6e-101)	89%(7e-93)	89%(1e-92)	88%(le-90)	48% (4e-17)) 47%(5e-10)	42%(8e-04)	41% (3e-06)
86%(1e-89)	93%(5e-101)	89%(7e-93)	89%(3e-93)	88% (1e-90)	48%(le-17)) 47% (5e-10)	42% (9e-05)	41% (4e-06)
86%(1e-87)	94%(6e-101)	88%(4e-92)	88%(6e-92)	89%(le-91)	48% (3e-17) 45%(2e-10)	42% (8e-05)	41%(2e-06)
87%(3e-88)	95%(2e-101)	90%(1e-91)	90%(3e-92)	90%(2e-91)	48% (2e-13)) 44% (2e-08)	44%(0.001)	42%(7e-06)
87%(2e-88)	95%(1e-101)	90%(6e-91)	90%(1e-91)	90% (1e-90)	48% (9e-13)) 43%(/e-08)	42% (6e-04)	41% (3e-06)
99%(/e-114)	93%(4e-110) 02%/(5-100)	93%(3e-99) 029/(4-00)	93%(Ze-99)	92% (3e-97)	48%(2e-16)) 40%(1e-11)	44% (4e-06)	42%(2e-07)
3370(0E-114)	93%(38-100)	93%(5a-98)	93%(5e-99)	92%(4e-97) 91%(6a-96)	48% (4a-16) 43%(2e-11)	44%(2=06)	42%(1e-07)
	9270(78-100)	95%(1a-102)	95%(1a-102)	95% (2a-102)	47% (4a-17) 47%(le-10)	44%(2a-05)	42%(2e-00)
		3370(10-102)	99%(3e-111)	99% (1e-108)	51%(3e-16	47%(le-09)	44%(2e-05)	42%(6e-06)
				98% (2e-108)	50% (2e-16	47%(le-09)	44% (1e-05)	42% (6e-06)
					49% (7e-15) 44% (le-08)	44% (1e-04)	43% (3e-05)
						44% (le-10)	49% (1e-09)	42% (7e-07)
							42% (2e-14)	45% (3e-16)
								53%(le-76)
	Czp) Czpi Cani Cani Czni Cani Cani		RNBS-A non-TIR -ALI COLT O'NANI AVI CNYNT -ALI COLT O'NANI AVI CNYNT - CHYNT CYNANI AVI CNYNT - CHYNT CYNANI AVI CNYNT - CHYNT CYNANI AVI CNYNT - CHYNT CYNANI AVI CNYNT	DRASOKDYS DKFPV MEEQIII RASOKDYS DKFPV MEEQIII RASOKDYS DKFPV MEEQIII KELLAKKIR - OISV MEEQIII KELLAKKIR - OISV MEEQISU KELLAKKIR - QISY MEEQISU KELLAKKIR - QISY MESRWIL KELLAKKIR - QISY MESRWIL	ICQD SSLNT EQLLIMMDD CQD SSLNT EQLLIMMDD CQD SSLNT EQLLIMMDD ICQD SSLNT EQLIMMDD ICQD SSLNT EQLIMMDD ICQD SSLNT EQLIMMDD ICQD SSLNT EQLIMMDD ICQD SSLNT EQLIMMDD ICQD SSLNT EQLIMMDD	Kinase SCLVQIV KOHENK KYLLV7D SQLVQIV KOHENK KYLLV7D SQLVQIV ROHENK KYLLV7D SQLVQIV RHENK KYLLV7D SQLVQIV RHENK KYLLV7D SQLVQIV ROHENK KYLLV7D SQLVQIV ROHENK KYLLV7D SQLVQIV ROHENK KYLLV7D	2 VVW 100 VVW 100 VVW 99 VVW 99 VVW 99 VVW 99 VVW 99 VVW 99 VVW 99	
	Cap: Cap: Cap:	12GGVGRTTLALIVYDKT 21GGVGRTTLALIVYDKT 11GGVGRTTLALIVYDKT	-ALIGGHFQYRAWIAVSQNYNI -ALIGGHFQYRAWIAVSQNYNI -ALIGGHFQYRAWIAVSQNYNI	KELLKKIIR-QISVK KELLKKIIR-QISVK	EEQNTEQLLNMMDD: EEQNTEQLLNMMDD: EEQNTEQLLNMMDD:	SØLVQIVRGHLNKKRYLLVFDI SMLVQIVRGHLNKKRYLLVFDI	WW 89	
	Cap	24 -SGGVGKTTLAQIVYDKT 31 -SGGVGKTTLAQIVYDKT 22 -GGAGETTLAQIVYDKT	-AIIGGHFQYRAWIAVSQNYNI -AIIGGHFQYRAWIAVSQNYNI -AIIGGHFOYRAWIAVSQNYNI	KELLKKIIR-QISVK KELLKKIIR-QISVK	EEQNTEQLLNMMDD: EEQNTEQLLNMMDD: EEQNTEQLLNMMDD	SQLVQIVRGHLNKKRYLLVFDI SQLVQIVRGHLNKKRYLLVFDI SQLVQIVRGHLNKKRYLLVFDI	00 WV 00 WV 00 89	

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Can13} & = \mathsf{ciscularitative}(\mathsf{ris} - \mathsf{cisi}) \mathsf{ciscularitative}(\mathsf{ris} + \mathsf{cisi}) \mathsf{ciscularitative}(\mathsf{ris} + \mathsf{cisi}) \mathsf{ciscularitative}(\mathsf{ris} + \mathsf{ciscularitative}) \mathsf{ciscularitative}(\mathsf{ris} + \mathsf{ciscularitative}) \mathsf{ciscularitative}(\mathsf{ris} + \mathsf{ciscularitative}) \mathsf{ciscularitative}(\mathsf{ris} + \mathsf{ciscularitative}) \mathsf{ciscularitative}) \mathsf{ciscularitative}(\mathsf{ris} + \mathsf{ciscularitative}) \mathsf{ciscularitative}) \mathsf{ciscularitative} \mathsf{ciscularitative}) \mathsf{ciscularitative} \mathsf{ciscularitative} \mathsf{ciscularitative}) \mathsf{ciscularitative} \mathsf{ciscularitative} \mathsf{ciscularitative}) \mathsf{ciscularitative} \mathsf{ciscularitative} \mathsf{ciscularitative} \mathsf{ciscularitative}) \mathsf{ciscularitative} \mathsf{ciscularitati$

Fig 2. CLUSTAL_X multiple alignment of deduced amino acid sequences of the NBS region of wild Curcuma sequences and analogous domains in known *R*-genes. *RPS2* (A54809) confers resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *N* (U15605) confers resistance to Tobacco mosaic virus in *Nicotiana tabaccum*, *L*6 (U27081) confers resistance to *Melampsora lini* in *Linum usitatissimum* and *Pi-ta* (AAK00132) confers resistance to *Magnaporthe grisea* in rice. Conserved motifs are shaded and indicated above the alignment. The arrows indicate the position and orientation of degenerate primers.

transcript expression profiles. Cap12 and Can12 resulted in constitutive amplification of PCR product in both resistant and susceptible accession of Curcuma aromatica and Curcuma angustifolia. However, in case of Curcuma zedoaria, the mRNA encoding Czp11 expressed in the plant resistant to Pythium aphanidermatum but not in plants susceptible to the fungal pathogen (Fig. 4). Similar results were also obtained with cultivated turmeric (Curcuma longa L.); Czp11 was detected in as many as seven resistant accessions (CBT no. C106, C109, C110, C122, C123, C134, C137) but not in susceptible lines (data not shown). This suggests a transcriptional variance of Czp11 might account for resistance against Pythium aphanidermatum. Control reactions were set up to ensure that the PCR products were not amplified from genomic DNA. PCR was done carrying a positive control with actin-specific primers and negative control with actin primers but with RNA instead of cDNA as the template. Amplification of the expected cDNA fragment (\approx 300bp) in the positive control for Actin 1 gene and no amplification in the negative control confirmed that the mRNA samples were free of genomic DNA contamination.

Discussion

There has been a rapid rise in the global demand and production of turmeric in recent times, owing to its huge commercial and medicinal importance (Selvan et al., 2002). The rapid cultivation and domestication has eroded the genetic base of most of the turmeric cultivars resulting in heavy pathogen infestation thus incurring huge crop losses. High genetic sterility and stigmatic incompatibility impede the traditional crop breeding approaches for host resistance development in turmeric cultivars (Damayanti et al., 2003). Genetic transformation offers the most likely opportunity for improvement of turmeric cultivars by transferring specific resistance traits without compromising on other important traits of interest. Although natural sources of resistance against these diseases have been reported in wild turmeric (Rao et al., 1992) the non-availability of a true turmeric resistance gene has been an impediment in the genetic transformation of turmeric for resistance development. The obligatory asexual nature added to poor genetic information makes it impossible to develop a segregating population or to construct a genetic map for isolation of R-genes. In these circumstances, candidate gene approach seemed a suitable strategy by which a full-length resistance gene can be isolated, cloned and characterized in turmeric. With this in mind, RGCs were isolated from three wild turmeric genotypes. The co-amplification of non-specific DNA fragments from the degenerate primers is a common feature and has been absorbed in various other species (Di Gaspero and Cipriani, 2002; Lopez et al., 2003). Thus, it is necessary to sequence many clones to ascertain the full range of RGAs that could be amplified in any crop by a given pair of specific primers designed to target the RGAs. 38 clones were generated from the three turmeric taxa using the three selected degenerative RGC primer pairs. The cloned sequences are considered as RGCs if they exhibit high sequence identities to known R-genes/other RGCs, show the presence of conserved NBS-LRR domain found in R-genes and are represented by open reading frame (ORF) of more than 100 amino acids uninterrupted with stop codons (Noir et al., 2001). Based on the above criteria, 21 out of 38 (55%) sequences were characterized as wild turmeric RGCs. Similar studies in other plants such as citrus (Deng et al., 2000) ginger (Nair and Thomas, 2007) and alfalfa (Cordero and Skinner, 2002) showed that 75%, 49%, and 55% of sequence characterized were RGCs respectively. This concludes that

the number of RGCs isolated varies from one plant to another. The percentage identity and e-value of turmeric RGCs to RGCs from other plant species ranged from 51% to 63% and 4e-35 to 1e-35, respectively. Likewise, the identity with RGCs from other zingiberaceous species ranged from 85% to 99% (3e-103 to 1e-04). A possible explanation for very high level of percentage identity in many of the clones obtained could be due to sequencing error. Often, the cloning of the same length fragment using the same set of primers results in the amplification of repeat sequences. Cross sequencing of the cloned fragments can overcome such drawback. In our case, even cross sequencing resulted in high sequence identity making us believe that, the amplified clones with high homology belong to a particular sub-group. In previous studies, NBS sequences identified in other plant species also showed a comparable range of identities of Rgenes/RGCs such as 30-45% in Phaseolus vulgaris (Rivkin et al., 1999), 31-51% in grape (Di Gaspero and Cipriani, 2002), 27-50% ginger (Nair and Thomas, 2007) and 38 to 82% in Kaempferia galanga (Joshi et al., 2012). Significant sequence identity was also detected with dicot and eudicot plants such as Coffea arabica and Vitis davidii. Joshi et al (2012) also found significant sequence identity of 11 Kaempferia RGCs with dicot sequences. This further strengthens the belief that, Zingiberaceous species are positioned at the transition point between dicots and monocots so far as resistance genes are concerned. However, a valid conclusion about the evolutionary origin of Zingiberaceous plants largely will depend on further characterization of NBS-LRR class Rgenes between different members of this family. Amino acid alignment showed that the wild turmeric RGCs share significant homology with NBS regions of well-characterized R-genes from other plants. Several features of the wild turmeric RGCs isolated in this study suggest they are non-TIR-NBS-LRR class resistance gene sequences. Moreover, the lengths of the isolated RGCs are also similar to the lengths of the non-TIR domain that range from 200-220 amino acids from the coding region until the beginning of the NBS domain (P-loop) (Bai et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2004). No TIR-RGCs were obtained in wild Curcuma genotypes in the present study, which is in accordance with the earlier reports on the absence of such groups among the Rgenes/RGCs of Zingiberaceae (Nair and Thomas, 2007; Joshi et al., 2010; Joshi et al., 2012). The phenetic analysis showed a high correspondence between the RGC sequence and the primer used as all the RGCs were grouped together or separately irrespective of the primer from which they are amplified. However, the groups mostly included the RGCs from the same turmeric genotypes. This suggests that the RGCs isolated from each species exhibit high degree of conservation within themselves. Many numbers of RGC classes have also been identified in other plant species such as six classes in apricot (Soriano et al., 2005), five classes in ginger (Nair and Thomas, 2007) and four classes in Kaempferia galanga (Joshi et al., 2012). The cluster analysis supported the classification of the turmeric RGCs into the non-TIR subclass. The TIR domain has also not been found in the NBS-LLR R-genes of other important monocots such as rice (Monosi et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004), wheat (Dilbirligi and Gill, 2003) and maize (Xiao et al., 2006). Pan et al. 2000 has earlier hypothesized that the loss of the TIR domain from the NBS-LRR genes in monocots may have occurred during the divergence of the monocots and dicots. The functional analysis of the wild turmeric RGCs suggests that the CC-NBS-LRR RGCs isolated in the present study are not inducible but expressed in a constitutive manner. Northern analysis of various known NBS-LRR R-genes also

	Table 3. Resistance related degenerative	primers used for the PCR amplification	of Curcuma resistance gene candidates ((RGCs) and number of clones derived from	om the three wild <i>Curcuma</i> taxa.
--	--	--	---	--	--

Primers				
Primer type	P1	P2	P3	
Sequence (5'-3')*F	GGIGGIRTIGGIAARACIAC	GGTGGGGTTGGGAAGACAACG	CCGGGITCAGGIAARACWAC	
R	WTIARIGYIARIGGIARICC	CCACGCTAGTGGCAATCC	CCCGAAGGAAACCRISRACWARA	
Targeted domain	NBS-LRR (P-loop/HD)	NBS-LRR (P-loop/HD)	NBS-LRR (P-loop/HD)	
Amplified products (Kb)	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Reference	Rivkin et al 1999	Kanazin et al 1996	Joshi et al 2011	
Wild Curcuma species	Number of clones isolated			
Curcuma aromatica	2	4	3	
Curcuma angustifolia	2	2	1	
Curcuma zedoaria	2	3	2	

*Primers are based on N, L6, RPM1 and RPS2 resistance genes; P1: Primer set 1, P2: Primer set 2, P3: Primer set 3.



Fig 3. Neighbor-joining tree based on amino acid sequence alignment of resistance gene candidates isolated from wild *Curcuma spp.*, other genotypes of Zingiberaceae and NBS sequences of *R*-genes from other plant species. The wild turmeric RGCs are represented with bold letters and classified into four phenetic classes with colour marks- class 1 (red); class 2 (green); class 3 (blue) and class 4 (brown). Numbers on the branches indicate the percentage of 1000 bootstrap replications supporting the particular nodes. The bold branches indicate the TIR-NBS cluster.



Fig 4. RT-PCR analysis of three selected resistance gene candidates *Cap12, Czp11* and *Can12* in root tissue of *Curcuma aromatica, Curcuma zedoaria* and *Curcuma angustifolia* plants resistant (R1, R2, R3) or susceptible (S1, S2, S3) respectively to *Pythium aphanidermatum. Cap12* and *Can12* showed a constitutive expression in both resistant (R1, R3) and susceptible (S1, S3) plants of *Curcuma aromatica* and *Curcuma angustifolia* while *Czp11* expression was realized only in resistant line of *Curcuma zedoaria* (*R2*) but not in susceptible line (S2). C+ denotes a positive control and show the expected \approx 300bp fragment amplified from turmeric *actin 1* cDNA.C- denotes the negative control which show no amplification of turmeric *actin 1* amplification due to lack of reverse transcription. M denotes the 1kb ladder plus molecular weight marker.

exhibit similar results (Parker et al., 1997; Milligan et al., 1998; Mes et al., 2000). Thus, the assumption that NBS-LRR proteins acts as preformed receptors that recognize a pathogen in a gene-for-gene correlation for disease resistance in plants is practically true (Van der Biezen and Jones, 1998). Constitutive expression of the R protein might be an adaptation to protect every cell of the plants from pathogen attack in the absence of a true circulatory system. The polymorphic expression of Czp11 can be an interesting finding for resistance development against Pythium aphanidermatum. The expression of Czp11 in seven resistant turmeric accession and non-expression in the susceptible lines further confirm their role in resistance development against the fungal pathogen. In conclusion, the present study resulted in the isolation of 21 resistance gene candidates (RGCs) from three wild turmeric genotypes- Curcuma aromatica, Curcuma angustifolia and Curcuma zedoaria through a degenerative PCR approach. The isolated RGCs showed the characteristic features of non-toll interleukin receptor (non-TIR) NBS-LRR R-gene subfamily. Czp11, an RGC from Curcuma zedoaria specifically expressed in response to Pythium aphanidermatum infection. The fulllength cDNA sequencing of the expressive Czp11 is in progress, which will subsequently be used for transforming susceptible turmeric plants to test its ability in conferring Pythium aphanidermatum disease resistance. The potential role of the isolated wild turmeric RGCs in disease resistance could further be tested using other advanced methods such as RNAi and BIBAC (Binary bacterial artificial chromosome) technology to reveal new informations on the organization, function and evolution of the NBS-LRR-encoding resistance genes in asexually reproducing plants.

Materials and methods

Plant material and DNA isolation

Accessions of three wild species of the genus Curcuma-Curcuma aromatica (CBT no. Car211-3), Curcuma angustifolia (CBT no. Can732-5) and Curcuma zedoaria (CBT no. Cze512-11) were used in this study. Samples were collected from their natural habitat after through taxonomic verification of the rhizome size, leaf structure and flowering patterns. Rhizome from 46-day-old plants were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C until use. DNA was isolated by grinding with a mortar, pestle in extraction buffer (0.2 M Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 0.25 M NaCl, 25 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5% SDS), and incubated at 65° C for 10 min. It was thrice treated with phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) for removal of non-nucleic acid compounds. DNA was precipitated using isopropanol and resuspended in 100 µl of 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0 with 10 ug RNaseA. The quality and quantity of the DNA were determined with a Thermo Scientific UV-Vis spectrophotometer.

PCR and gene sequence cloning

Three pairs of NBS-LRR encoding R-gene specific degenerate primers (Table 3) were used to amplify NBS-LRR gene sequences from wild turmeric. The design of the degenerate primers was based on the NBS and membrane spanning motif sequences of two cloned R genes, N and L6, from the TIR NBS-LRR class and two cloned R genes, RPS2 and RPM1 from the non-TIR NBS-LRR class. PCR was carried out using 50ng of genomic DNA in a 50ul reaction volume containing a 200uM dNTP mix (Fermentas), 1X PCR reaction buffer (16 mM (NH)₂SO₄, 67 mM Tris-HCl) with 15 mM MgCl₂, 20 pM of each primer (forward and reverse) and 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Bangalore Genie, INDIA). Amplification was programmed on a Veriti Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems) for an initial denaturation at 94^oC for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturing at 94^oC for 1 min, annealing at $50/60^{\circ}$ C for 1 min, and elongating at 72° C for 2 min. Differential PCR conditions were also maintained through variable concentrations of template DNA (15/25/35/45 ng), Mg²⁺ ion (10/15/20/25 mM) and *Taq* DNA polymerase (0.5/1/1.5 unit) to confirm the amplification of desired PCR product. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on a 1.2% (wt/vol) agarose gel. Desired amplified bands were gel-eluted and purified, using the Wizard SV gel and PCR cleanup system (Promega, USA) and cloned with pGEM-T easy vector system (Promega, Madison, USA) as per manufacturer's instructions. Recombinant DNA was transferred into competent Escherichia coli JM109 strain cells and was plated on the Luria Broth (LB) agar blue and white selective medium. The clones were named with the first letter of the genus and the first letter of the species followed by primer code and the clone number. For Curcuma angustifolia, the name consists of the first letter of the genus and first two letters of the species followed by the clone number.

Southern blot analysis

10ug of genomic DNA isolated from young rhizome of three wild turmeric genotypes was digested separately with *EcoRI* and *HindIII*. The digests were electrophoretically separated on 1.2% agarose gel capillary-blotted onto a nylon membrane filter (Hybond-N+, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) with 0.5 N NaOH transfer buffer and baked for 2 h at 80° C. Digoxigenin labeled probes were prepared from purified DNA of the identified RGCs (*Cap12, Czp11 and Can12*) using a digoxigenin DNA labeling and detection kit (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). The hybridization membrane was blocked for 1 h at 62° C with DIG Easy Hyb (Roche) before hybridization. Hybridization was carried out

with DIG-labelled probes at 65° C for 15 h in a hybridization chamber followed by two washes with 0.1 X SSC (15 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM Na-citrate, pH 7.0) containing 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate at 65° C for 20 min. Detection of the hybridized probe was done according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Plasmid purification, DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

Clones were grown overnight in LB medium containing 100ug/ml ampicillin. Plasmid DNA was purified using the Wizardplus miniprep DNA purification system (Promega, USA). Briefly, a single bacterial colony harboring the desired plasmid was inoculated in 2 ml Luria broth (LB) liquid medium (containing suitable antibiotics) and was incubated overnight at 37 °C under continuous shaking at 200 rpm. The overnight grown culture was transferred to a cold centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 5000 x g for 8min to spin down the bacteria. The bacterial pellet was resuspended in 400 µl of cell resuspension solution (Tris-HCl, EDTA and RNAse A). The sample was then transferred to a 1.5 ml vial, incubated on ice for 5 min. 400 µl of the freshly prepared lysis solution (1% SDS, 0.2 M NaOH) was mixed with the sample and placed on ice for 5 min. 400 µl of ice-cold 1.3 M potassium acetate (pH 4.8) was mixed gently to the sample and incubated further for 5 min on ice. This preparation was then transferred into a minicolumn and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C to separate the supernatant from the the cell debris and chromosomal DNA. The supernatant was then transferred to a fresh vial and 0.6 volumes of Iso-propanol was added to it. The mixture was incubated at room temperature (25 °C) for 10 min. The plasmid DNA was precipitated by centrifugation at 15000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. The plasmid pellet was finally dissolved with 50 µl of sterile TE buffer. The plasmid DNA was sequenced using the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit (Perkin Elmer) with SP6 and T7 forward and reverse primer. Sequences of the PCR product were determined on the ABI Prism 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Sequences were edited using GeneDoc software, to remove the primer and vector sequences. Database searches were performed using the National Center for Biotechnology Information Center's BlastX to search the similarity of the RGA to the NBS-LRRencoding R genes and RGA cloned in plants. Amino acid sequences of resistance genes from other plant species were added to the set of NBS sequences, and cluster analysis was carried out using the CLUSTALX program version 1.81 (Thomson et al., 1997) with the default settings. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) using the NJ algorithm implemented in the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software package version 2.1 with the Poisson correction (Kumar et al. 2001). NBS sequences of the following *R*-genes were included in the phylogenetic analysis: N (U15605) from Nicotiana tabaccum, L (AAD25976), L6 (U27081) and P2 (AAK28806) from Linum usitatissimum, RPP1 (NM114316), RPP4 (NM117790), RPP8 (NM123713), RPS2 (U12860), RPS5 (NM101094), RPM1 (AAD41050), RPP13 (T51186), RPP8 (NM123713) from Arabidopsis thaliana, Sw-5 (CAD29729) from Solanum lycopersicon, Pi-ta (AAK00132) from Oryza sativa in addition to RGCs from Zingiber officinale (AY864935, AY864938, AY864939, AY864958-AY864961), Ipomea batatas (DQ272297, DQ303442), Curcuma longa (HM117003, HM117005) and Kaempferia galanga (HQ650147- HQ650150). Searches for ORF were done using

ORF finder at the NCBI server (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/projects/gorf). The RGA sequences that did not give ORF were defined as "pseudogene," according to Deloukas and associates (2001).

RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated from 45 days old rhizome tissue of all the three wild turmeric genotypes by using the SV total RNA isolation system (Promega). cDNA synthesis was carried out using GoScript reverse transcription system (Promega) according to manufacturer's instructions. PCR amplification was performed using a 25ul reaction mixture containing 2ul of 25mM MgCl₂, 0.2ul of 10mM dNTP mix, 1uM of each forward and reverse primer, 5ul of 5X reaction buffer, 1ul of synthesized cDNA and 1U of Taq DNA polymerase. Three sets of gene specific primers were designed one each for the amplification of a specific RGC from the three wild turmeric genotypes: For Cap12, F5'-TTTGAGATGGCGAGTAGAAC-3' and R5'-ATGAGGG-AAGAGAGGAGAAG-3'; for Can12, F5'-ACACAACA-TTCAGTTTAGCAC-3' and R5'-TCCCTATTCTTTCCTC-TCG-3'; for Czp11, F5'-TATCCTCCCTGGTCGTTT-3' and R5'-GATTCCCTTTCCTTTCTTG-3'. The RT-PCR reaction included a positive control for turmeric Actin 1 gene and a negative control with RNA instead of cDNA as a template. The reaction conditions were 5 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturing at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 55-57°C for 30 sec, and elongating at 72°C for 2 min followed by a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. Amplicons were separated on a 1.2% agarose gel. The amplified products were gel purified, cloned into pGEMT easy vector and sequenced.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Prof. Manoj Ranjan Nayak, President, Siksha O Anusandhan University for his encouragement and support. BK gratefully acknowledges financial assistance from the Dept. of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi.

References

- Bai J, Pennill LA, Ning J, Lee SW, Ramalingam J, Webb CA, Zhao B, Sun Q, Nelson JC, Leach JE, Hulbert SH (2002) Diversity in the nucleotide binding site-leucine-rich repeat genes in cereals. Genome Res 12:1871-1884.
- Belkhadir Y, Subramaniam R, Dangl JL (2004) Plant disease resistance protein signalling: NBS-LRR proteins and their partners. Cur Opin Plant Biol 7:391–399.
- Cordero JC, Skinner DZ (2002) Isolation from alfalfa of resistance gene analogues containing nucleotide-binding sites. Theor Appl Genet 104:1283-1289.
- Dangl L, Jones J (2001) Plant pathogens and integrated defense responses to infection. Nature 411:826-833.
- Deloukas P, Matthews LH, Ashurst J, Burton J, Gilbert JGR, Jones M, Stavrides G, Almeida JP, Babbage AK, Bagguley CL, Bailey J, Barlow KF, Bates KN, Beard LM, Beare DM, Beasley OP, Bird CP, Blakey SE, Bridgeman AM, Brown AJ, Buck D, Burrill W, Butler AP, Carder C, Carter NP, Chapman JC, Clamp M, Clark G, Clark LN, Clark SY, Clee CM, Clegg S, Cobley VE, Collier RE, Connor R, Corby NR, Coulson A, Coville GJ, Deadman R, Dhami P, Dunn M, Ellington AG, Frankland JA, Fraser A, French L, Garner P, Grafham DV, Griffiths C, Griffiths MND, Gwilliam R, Hall RE, Hammond S, Harley JL, Heath PD,

Ho S, Holden JL, Howden PJ, Huckle E, Hunt AR, Hunt SE, Jekosch K, Johnson CM, Johnson D, Kay MP, Kimberley AM, King A, Knights A, Laird GK, Lawlor S, Lehvaslaiho MH, Leversha M, Lloyd C, Lloyd DM, Lovell JD, Marsh VL, Martin SL, Mcconnachie LJ, Mclay K, Mcmurray AA, Milne S, Mistry D, Moore MJF, Mullikin JC, Nickerson T, Oliver K, Parker A, Patel R, Pearce TAV, Peck AI, Phillimore BJCT, Prathalingam SR, Plumb RW, Ramsay H, Rice CM, Ross MT, Scott CE, Sehra HK, Shownkeen R, Sims S, Skuce CD, Smith ML, Soderlund C, Steward CA, Sulston J E, Swann M, Sycamore N, Taylor R, Tee L, Thomas DW, Thorpe A, Tracey A, Tromans AC, Vaudin M, Wall M, Wallis JM, Whitehead SL, Whittaker P, Willey DL, Williams L, Williams SA, Wilming L, Wray PW, Hubbard T, Durbin RM, Bentley DR, Beck S, Rogers J (2001) The DNA sequence and comparative analysis of human chromosome 20. Nature 414:865-871.

- Deng Z, Huang S, Ling P, Chen C, Yu C, Weber C, Moore G, Gmitter FJ (2000) Cloning and characterization of NBS-LRR class resistance-gene candidate sequences in citrus. Theor Appl Genet 101:814-822.
- Deng Z, Gmitter FG (2003) Cloning and characterization of receptor kinase class disease resistance gene candidates in Citrus. Theor Appl Genet 108:53–61.
- Dhamayanthi KPM, Sasikumar B, Remashree AB (2003) Reproductive biology and incompatibility studies in ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). Phytomorphology 53:123-131.
- Di Gaspero G, Cipriani G (2002) Resistance gene analogs are candidate markers for disease-resistance genes in grape (*Vitis spp.*). Theor Appl Genet 106:163-172.
- Dilbirligi M, Gill KS (2003) IdentiWcation and analysis of expressed resistance gene sequences in wheat. Plant Mol Biol 53:771–787.
- Ebert D, Hamilton W (1996) Sex against virulence: the coevolution of parasitic diseases. Trends in Ecol Evol 11:79-82.
- He L, Du C, Covaleda L, Xu Z, Robinson AF, Yu JZ, Kohel RJ, Zhang HB (2004) Cloning, characterization, and evolution of the NBS-LRR encoding resistance gene analogue family in popyploid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Mol Plant Microbe Interact 17: 1234-1241.
- Joshi RK, Kar B, Mohanty S, Subudhi E, Nayak S (2012) Molecular cloning, characterization, and expression analysis of resistance gene candidates in *Kaempferia galanga* L. Mol Biotechnol 50:200-210.
- Joshi RK, Mohanty S, Subudhi E, Nayak S (2010) Isolation and characterization of NBS-LRR resistance gene candidates in *Curcuma longa* cv. Surama. Genet Mol Res 9: 1796-1806.
- Kanazin V, Marek LF, Shoemaker RC (1996) Resistance gene analogs are conserved and clustered in soybean. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 93:11746-11750.
- Kumar S, Tamura K, Jakobsen IB, Nei M (2001) MEGA2: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis software. Bioinformatics 17:244-1245.
- Leister D, Ballvora A, Salamini F, Gebhardt C (1996) A PCR based approach for isolating pathogen resistance genes from potato with potential for wide application in plants. Nature Genet 14:421–429.
- Lopez CE, Acosta IF, Jara C, Pedraza F, Gaitan-Solis E, Gallego G, Beebe S, Tohme J (2003) Identifying resistance gene analogs associated with resistances to different pathogens in common bean. Phytopathol 93:88-95.
- Mago R, Nair S, Mohan M (1999) Resistance gene analogues from rice: cloning, sequencing and mapping. Theor Appl Genet 99:50-57.

- Mes J, Van Doorn A, Wijbrandi J, Simons G, Cornelissen B, Haring M (2000) Expression of the *Fusarium* resistance gene I-2 co localizes with the site of fungal containment. Plant J 23: 183-193.
- Meyers BC, Kozik A, Griego A, Kuang H, Michelmore RW (2003) Genome wide analysis of NBS-LRR encoding genes in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 15:809-834.
- Milligan S, Bodeau J, Yaghoobi J, Kaloshian I, Zabel P, Williamson V (1998) The root knot resistance gene *Mi* from tomato is a member of the leucine zipper, nucleotide binding site, leucine-rich repeat family of plant genes. Plant Cell 10:1307–1319.
- Monosi B, Wisser RJ, Pennill L, Hulbert SH (2004) Full genome analysis of resistance gene homologues in rice. Theor Appl Genet 109:1434-1447.
- Nair RA, Thomas G (2007) Isolation, characterization and expression studies of resistance gene candidates (RGCs) from *Zingiber* spp. Theor Appl Genet 116:123-134.
- Noir S, Combes MC, Anthony F, Lashermes P (2001) Origin, diversity and evolution of NBS-type disease resistance gene homologues in coffee trees (*Coffea arabica* L.). Mol Genet Genom 265:654-662.
- Pan Q, Wendel J, Fluhr R (2000) Divergent evolution of plant NBS-LRR resistance gene homologues in dicot and cereal genomes. J Mol Evol 50: 203-213.
- Parker J, Coleman M, Szabo V, Frost V, Schmidt R, Van der Biezen E, Moores T, Dean C, Daniels M, Jones J (1997) The *Arabidopsis* downy mildew resistance gene *RPP5* shares similarity to the toll interleukin-1 receptors with N and L6. Plant Cell 9:879–894.
- Rao PS, Reddy MLN, Rao TGN, Krishna MR, Rao AM (1992) Reactions of turmeric cultivars to *Colletotrichum* leaf spot, *Taphrina* leaf blotch and rhizome rot. J Plantation Crops 20:131-134.
- Rivkin M, Vallejos C, McClean P (1999) Disease-resistance related sequences in common bean. Genome 42:41–47.
- Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4:406-425.
- Selvan MT, Thomas KG, Manoj KK (2002) Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). In: Singh, H. P., Sivaraman, K. and Selvan, M. T. (Eds.), Indian Spices Production and Utilizations, Coconut Development Board, India, pp110–131.
- Soriano JM, Vilanova S, Romero C, Llacer G, Badenes ML (2005) Characterization and mapping of NBS-LRR resistance gene analogs in apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.). Theor Appl Genet 110:980-989.
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nuc Acids Res 25: 4876-4882.
- Van der Biezen EA, Jones JD (1998) Plant disease-resistance proteins and the gene-for-gene concept. Trends Biochem Sci 23:454–456.
- Xiao WK, Xu ML, Zhao JR, Wang FG, Li JS, Dai JR (2006) Genome wide isolation of resistance gene analogs in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Theor Appl Genet 113:63–72.
- Zhou T, Wang T, Chen JQ, Araki H, Jing Z, Jiang K, Shen J, Tian D (2004) Genome-wide identification of NBS genes in japonica rice reveals significant expansion of divergent non-TIR NBS-LRR genes. Mol Genet Genom 271: 402– 415.